## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or ager	nt's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	ON	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
25791.308.02		International filing date (day		Priority date (day/month/year)
International application No.				05 September 2003 (05.09.2003)
PCT/US04/28831 07 September 2004 (07 International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification a			.004)1 PC	03 Beptember 2005 (05:05:12002)
		or national classification and b	. •	
USPC: 166/380,	/ <b>00</b> ( 2006.01) 382,207,242.1			
Applicant				
ENVENTURE GL	OBAL TECHNOLOG	GY, INC.		1 11 11: Let retional Proliminary
Exami	ning Authority und	er Article 35 and transmitted	to the applicant ac	shed by this International Preliminary cording to Article 36.
2. This F	REPORT consists of	a total of 2 sheets, include	ling this cover sheet	
3. This r	eport is also accomp	panied by ANNEXES, comp	orising:	
a. [	(sent to the application	ant and to the International	Bureau) a total of	55sheets, as follows:
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.			
ъ. Г	J		al of (indicate type	and number of electronic carrier(s))
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (Interest type and the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).			
4. This		cations relating to the follow	ing items:	
4. M		Basis of the report		
		Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinic applicability	on with regard to no	velty, inventive step and industrial
		Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under industrial applicability; citat	Article 35(2) with ions and explanation	n regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
		Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	ational application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	international applic	ation
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report	
05 April 2005 (05.04.2005)			22 January 2007 (22	.01.2007)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US  Commissioner for Patents		Authorized officer Hoang Dang	semith for	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201			Telephone No. 571-	272-3600
TO COMPANY AND A 14	00 (server sheet)(Apri	1 2005)		•

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)

International application No.	
PCT/US20/04028831	

Box	No.	I Ba	asis of the report	
1.	With	regard	d to the language, this report is based on:	
	$\boxtimes$	the int	ternational application in the language in which it was filed.	
		a trans	slation of the international application into <u>English</u> , which is the language of a translation fur oses of:	nished for the
			international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))	
			publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))	
			international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))	
	to the	egard t	to the <b>elements</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally file this report):	been furnished d" and are not
	П	the int	nternational application as originally filed/furnished	
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	the de	escription:	
			s 1-172 as originally filed/furnished s* NONE received by this Authority on	
			s* <u>NONE</u> received by this Authority ons* <u>NONE</u> received by this Authority on	
	<u> </u>			
	$\bowtie$		laims: s NONE as originally filed/furnished	
			s* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	
			e* NONE received by this Authority on	
			received by this Authority on 17 March 2006 (17.03.2006)	
	$\boxtimes$	the dr	lrawings:	
			as originally filed/firmished	
		pages	ss NONE received by this Authority on received by the	
				_
		a seq	quence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	5·
3.	$\boxtimes$	The a	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		$\boxtimes$	the description, pages NONE	
1		Ħ	the claims, Nos. 51-118,131-136,139,141-193,199-840	
İ		Ħ	the drawings, sheets/figs NONE	
		Ħ	the sequence listing (specify):	
ļ		目	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
4.		This since	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had a they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (	not been made, Rule 70.2(c)).
			the description, pages	
			the claims, Nos.	
		同	the drawings, sheets/figs	
		同	the sequence listing (specify):	
			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
*	If ita	m A an	pplies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	
<u></u>	ij nei	m Tup	A MOO (Play No. 1) (April 2005)	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

International application No.
PCT/US20/04028831

Box No.		Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The ques	stions	whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be oplicable have not been examined in respect of:
	the e	entire international application
$\boxtimes$	clair	ns Nos. <u>194-198 and 841-1092</u> • •
		nuse:
	the not	said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	the that	description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	the opi	claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful nion could be formed (specify):
	no	international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 194-198 and 841-1092
	a i	meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the escribed time limit:
		furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
		furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
		pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.
	no re av	meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did ot, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical quirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not vailable to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	1	e tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not omply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	] s	ee Supplemental Box for further details

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. III) (April 2005)

International application No.
PCT/US20/04028831

Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
1. In resp	onse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
$\boxtimes$	restricted the claims.
	paid additional fees.
	paid additional fees under protest, and, where applicable, the protest fee
	paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
	neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees
68.1, r	authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. This Author	ity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
	ied with.
not co	mplied with for the following reasons:
This application concept under Po	contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive CT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.
Group I, claim(s	) 1-50, drawn to a method of forming a tubular liner within a preexisting structure.
Group II, claim(	s) 119-122, drawn to an expandable tubular member.
Group III, claim	(s) 123-126, drawn to an expandable tubular member.
Group IV, claim	(s) 127-130, drawn to a method of radially expanding and plastically deforming a tubular assembly.
Group V, claim(	s) 137, 138 and 140, drawn to a method of manufacturing a tubular member.
The inventions I 13.2, they lack to The special tech another portion. The special tech than the expanded The special tech radial expansion. The special tech member than to The special tech than the special tech member than to the special tech than the special tech	isted as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: inical feature of the claims of Group I is a predetermined portion of the tubular assembly having a lower yield point than thereof prior to the radial expansion and plastic deformation of the tubular assembly. Inical feature of the claims of Group II is the expandability coefficient of the expandable tubular member being greater ability coefficient of another portion thereof. Inical feature of the claims of Group III is the tubular member having a higher ductility and a lower yield point prior to a and plastic deformation than after the radial expansion and plastic deformation. Inical feature of the claims of Group IV is the use of less power to radially expand each unit length of the first tubular radially expand each unit length of the second tubular member. Inical feature of the claims of Group V is the tubular member being processed after it has been positioned within a curre until it is characterized one or more final characteristics. The rough IV lack unity because they do not rely on the same special technical feature as pointed out above.
all	tly, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:  parts  parts relating to claims Nos. 1-50

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US20/04028831

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Articl applicability; citations and expla	le 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industr nations supporting such statement	ial
1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-50	YES
Novelly (14)	Claims NONE	NO
		TZPO .
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims 1-50	YES NO
	Claims NONE	NO
- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Claims 1-50	YES
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims NONE	NO
a tubular liner within a pre-existing structure as claims assembly, a first portion of the tubular assembly has a	3(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a meed and wherein prior to the radial expansion and plastic deformation lower yield point than a second portion of the tubular assembly.  3(4), and thus the claimed invention has industrial applicability be	
NEW CITATIONS		

# CHAPTER II PCT TELEPHONE MEMORANDUM FOR LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION



PCT No.: PCT/US20/04028831	
Examiner: Hoang Dang	
Attorney spoken to: Todd Mattingly	
Date of call: 09 January 2007	
Amount of payment approved:	
Deposit account number to be charged:	
Attorney elected to pay for <u>ALL</u> additional inventions	
Attorney elected to pay only for the additional inventions covered by	
Group(s):	
encompassing —	
Claim(s):	
Attorney elected NOT to pay for any additional inventions, therefore, only the first claimed invention G $\underline{I}$ , covered by Claim(s) $\underline{1-50}$ has been examined.	roup
Attorney was orally advised that there is no right to protest for any group not paid for.	
Attorney was orally advised that any protest must be filed no later than 1 Month from the mailing of the Opinion (Form PCT/IPEA/408) or the Final Report (Form PCT/IPEA/409).	ne
Time Limit For Filing A Protest	
Applicant is hereby given <u>1 Month</u> from the mailing date of this Opinion/Final Report in which to file a protest of the holding of lack of unity of invention. In accordance with PCT Rule 68.3, applicant may protest the holding of lack of unity <u>only</u> with respect to the group(s) paid for.	e F
Itemized Summary of Claim Groupings: Please See Continuation Sheet	
<u>Detailed Reasons For Holding Lack of Unity of Invention:</u> Please See Continuation Sheet	

USPTO/499 (August 1997) B

Note: A copy of this form must be attached to the Opinion/Final Report.

#### ATTACHMENT TO CHAPTER II PCT TELEPHONE MEMORANDUM FOR LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION

#### **Itemized Summary of Claim Groupings:**

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid. Group I, claim(s) 1-50, drawn to a method of forming a tubular liner within a preexisting structure. Group II, claim(s) 119-122, drawn to an expandable tubular member. Group III, claim(s) 123-126, drawn to an expandable tubular member. Group IV, claim(s) 127-130, drawn to a method of radially expanding and plastically deforming a tubular assembly. Group V, claim(s) 137, 138 and 140, drawn to a method of manufacturing a tubular member.

#### Detailed Reasons For Holding Lack of Unity of Invention:

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of the claims of Group I is a predetermined portion of the tubular assembly having a lower yield point than another portion thereof prior to the radial expansion and plastic deformation of the tubular assembly. The special technical feature of the claims of Group II is the expandability coefficient of the expandable tubular member being greater than the expandability coefficient of another portion thereof. The special technical feature of the claims of Group III is the tubular member having a higher ductility and a lower yield point prior to a radial expansion and plastic deformation than after the radial expansion and plastic deformation. The special technical feature of the claims of Group IV is the use of less power to radially expand each unit length of the second tubular member. The special technical feature of the claims of Group V is the tubular member being processed after-it has been positioned within a preexisting structure until it is characterized one or more final characteristics. Inventions of Groups I-V lack unity because they do not rely on the same special technical feature as pointed out above.